

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,141	01/22/2002	Ralph L. Brooker	47176-00621	1090
30223	7590 06/18/2004		EXAMINER	
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C. 225 WEST WASHINGTON			LE, HOANGANH T	
SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		2821	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/051,141	BROOKER ET AL.			
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		HoangAnh T Le	2821			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover she tw	ith the correspondence address			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of It SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON attatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 May 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	•	· ·			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13,15,17-22,24-32,34-40</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13 and 24-32</u> is/are allow Claim(s) <u>15,17-22,34-40,42-44,47,49-55</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration. wed. is/are rejected.	ding in the application.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)□	D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection		• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries the oath or declaration is objected to by the countries of the countries		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Infori	ne of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on May 24, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 15,20-22, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jenkin et al (the US patent No. 6,166,700, of record).

The Jenkin et al reference teaches in figure 4 a self-pointing antenna comprising: means for supporting a feed 42 on an elongated boom arm coupled to a reflector 20*, means 40 extending between the reflector and the boom arm for supporting the boom arm; and means 40 for adjusting an effective length of the boom arm supporting means to thereby adjust the position of the feed relative to the reflectors, the means for adjusting comprising a single an actuator 40 (figures 4 and 5). The actuator 40 is mounted to the boom arm (figure 4). The support means comprises an elongated ligature (figure 4).

Art Unit: 2821

4. Claims 34,36,40, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ehrenberg et al (the US patent No. 6,441,798, of record).

The Ehrenberg et al reference teaches in figure 16 a self-pointing antenna comprising: a reflector 106, one of a feed 180 and a sub-reflector, and a plurality of support struts 140,142 coupled between the reflector and the one of a feed and a sub-reflector and supporting the one of a feed and a sub-reflector, and a single actuator 190 for adjusting the position of said one of a feed and a sub-reflector relative to the reflector. The actuator 190 is mounted to the feed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 17,18,19,49, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkin et al (cited above) in view of Adams (the US Patent No. 6,350,037, of record).

The Jenkin et al reference teaches every feature of the claimed invention, excluding the actuator being mounted to the top side or the bottom side of the boom arm or to the feed and the actuator comprising an automotive mirror-glass actuator.

Art Unit: 2821

The Adams reference teaches the use of an automotive mirror-glass actuator in order to improve the performance of the actuator. Since one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the benefit of improving the performance of the actuator, it would have been obvious to provide Jenkin et al with an automotive mirror-glass actuator as taught by Adams.

Regarding claims 17,18, 49 and 53, it would have been an obvious matter of desire choice to have the actuator being mounted to a top side of the boom arm, to a bottom side of the boom arm or to the feed, since applicant has not disclosed that the actuator being mounted to a top side of the boom arm, or to a bottom side of the boom arm or the feed solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the actuator being mounted to the reflector as taught by Jenkin et al.

7. Claims 35,37,38,39,42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehrenberg et al (cited above) in view of Choi (the US Patent No. 5,874,925, of record).

The Ehrenberg et al reference teaches every feature of the claimed invention, excluding the actuator comprising a two-axis motorized carriage.

The Choi reference teaches in figure 2 the use of an actuator comprising a two-axis motorized carriage in order to improve the performance of the actuator. Since one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the benefit of improving the performance of the actuator, it would have been obvious to provide Ehrenberg et al with a two-axis motorized carriage as taught by Choi.

Art Unit: 2821

8. Claims 50-52,54, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkin et al (the US Patent No. 5,874,925).

The Jenkin et al reference teaches every feature of the claimed invention, excluding the actuator comprising a two-axis motorized carriage.

The Choi reference teaches in figure 2 the use of an actuator comprising a two-axis motorized carriage in order to improve the performance of the actuator. Since one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the benefit of improving the performance of the actuator, it would have been obvious to provide Jenkin et al with a two-axis motorized carriage as taught by Choi.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-8,10-13, and 24-32 are allowed.
- 10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the cited art discloses a single actuator operatively coupled with the support struts for permitting movement of the support struts for adjusting the position of the feed relative to the reflector.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed May 24, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Jenkin or Ehrenberg does not teach "so as to selective adjust either /or both of a beam elevation and beam azimuth of a main beam axis of the antenna", a recitation of the intended use of

Art Unit: 2821

the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HoangAnh T Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1823. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

Art Unit: 2821

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoanganh Le Primary Examiner